

ADOPTABILITY OF AUGMENTED REALITY AS A SUPPLEMENTARY TOOL IN ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, AND
CONSTRUCTION EDUCATION IN GHANA

Keywords: Adoptability, Augmented reality, Architecture, Engineering, Construction (AEC), education

Gabriel NANI and Emmanuel WIRIBARE, Ghana

Summary

Aim/Purpose-This study examines the adoptability of augmented reality as a supplementary tool in Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC) education in Ghana. The research investigates the potential of augmented reality (AR) technology in enhancing AEC education and explores its adoptability within the Ghanaian context. The significance of the study is highlighted, emphasizing the importance of integrating technology in AEC education to bridge the gap between theory and practice.

Methodology- The study used a quantitative research approach. A structured questionnaire for the study was designed from the literature review and administered to students and lecturers in AEC education at Knust. Both purposive and snowball sampling methods were used in this study to obtain a valid and effective overall sample size. The questionnaire was disseminated through Google Forms. Fifty were retrieved and used for the analysis of the study. The statistical tools used for analysis are frequency, percentages,

and mean scores.

Results-The study identifies gaps in the current AEC education system, including limited technology integration, hands-on learning opportunities, lack of awareness among faculty, and the Interdisciplinary Training. The benefits of augmented reality in AEC education are explored, highlighting improved visualization, student engagement, knowledge retention, and critical thinking skills. Implementation challenges, such as cost, technical support, and content availability, are also identified. The conclusion highlights the potential of augmented reality in enhancing AEC education and emphasizes the need for its integration into the curriculum.

Value-The findings of this research contribute to the understanding of augmented reality's adoptability in AEC education in Ghana. By embracing augmented reality technology, educational institutions can enhance the learning experience, bridge the gap between theory and practice, and prepare students for successful careers in the construction industry

INTRODUCTION

The field of Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) education plays a vital role in preparing students for successful careers in design, engineering, and construction management. However, several challenges need to be addressed to bridge the gap between theory and practice in AEC education (Rihab, et al 2023). This research paper titled "Adoptability of Augmented Reality as a Supplementary Tool in Architecture, Engineering, and Construction Education in Ghana" aims to explore the potential of augmented reality (AR) as a supplementary tool in AEC education and its adoptability within the Ghanaian context.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to the understanding of the adoptability of augmented reality in AEC education in Ghana. By embracing augmented reality technology, educational institutions can enhance the learning experience, bridge the gap between theory and practice, and prepare students for successful careers in the construction industry. The integration of technology in AEC education is of significant importance as it addresses the limitations of the current education system, such as limited technology integration, hands-on learning opportunities, lack of awareness among faculty, and the need for interdisciplinary training.

One of the key research gaps identified in the literature is the mismatch between what is taught in AEC education and the actual usage of computer technology by industry experts. This research aims to address this gap by exploring the potential of augmented reality as a tool to enhance AEC education. The study investigates the benefits of augmented reality, such as improved visualization, student engagement, knowledge retention, and critical thinking skills. Additionally, it identifies the implementation challenges, including cost, technical support, and content availability, that need to be overcome for successful integration of augmented reality in AEC education.

The contribution of this research work is multifaceted. Firstly, it provides insights into the current AEC education system in Ghana, highlighting the gaps and limitations that exist. Secondly, it explores the potential of augmented reality as a supplementary tool in AEC education, shedding light on its benefits and challenges. This research also contributes to the broader understanding of the role of technology in education and its impact on bridging the gap between theory and practice. The findings of this study can inform educational institutions, policymakers, and stakeholders in Ghana's construction industry about the adoptability and potential benefits of augmented reality in AEC education.

This research paper aims to address the adoptability of augmented reality as a supplementary tool in AEC education in Ghana. By exploring the potential of augmented reality, its benefits, challenges, and the current gaps in AEC education, this study contributes to the understanding of how technology can enhance the learning experience and bridge the gap between theory and practice. The findings of this research have implications for educational institutions, policymakers, and stakeholders in the construction industry, providing valuable insights into the integration of augmented reality in AEC education in Ghana.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Overview of AEC education

The education in architecture, engineering, and construction revolves around imparting practical knowledge, with a particular emphasis on the structure and characteristics of three-dimensional (3D) things. Structures such as buildings, bridges, and open spaces are typically associated with information about their various parts, including stress, size, materials, assembly, and construction processes (Poinet, 2020). Throughout several phases, such as conceptual and schematic design, detailed design, construction documentation, fabrication, assembly, and final structure records, construction models have been essential in gathering and defining information. One noteworthy industry trend is the increasing use of various simulation techniques applied to virtual models. These simulations serve educational and practical purposes, such as assessments, drills, instruction, and presentations of suggested fixes. By skillfully fusing virtual designs with their physical attributes, augmented reality (AR) is utilized to satisfy needs for both specialist practice

and education while also taking into consideration the unique professional traits of the industry (Getuli et al., 2021). The goal of architectural, engineering, and construction (AEC) education is to prepare students for careers in design, engineering, and construction management. Building and infrastructure design, planning, and construction all depend on these intricate and interconnected disciplines (Safikhani et al., 2022). Offering a curriculum that combines specialized knowledge with a solid foundation of fundamental skills is essential to ensuring that students receive a well-rounded education in the field of architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) (Aguilar et al., 2023; Chegu Badrinath et al., 2016). Unfortunately, there appears to be a mismatch between what is taught and the actual usage of computer technology by experts in these domains (Bolpagni et al., 2022). The AEC sector is extremely competitive, and businesses that use cutting-edge technology can outperform their rivals. One of the global industries with the quickest rate of growth is construction, and the AEC sector is gradually moving away from traditional methods and towards automation. To facilitate smart and instantaneous interactions among individuals, information, and representations in both physical and virtual realms, it is crucial to introduce and develop various methodologies, methods, and technologies. The AEC sector (Alizadehsalehi et al., 2020) has the potential to revolutionize the planning, execution, and maintenance of building projects. Ghana is making investments to enhance the quality of AEC education in order to fulfill the requirements of its progressing nation (Takyi-Annan, et al., 2023)

2.2 Importance of AEC Education

Professionals who have received education in fields such as architecture, engineering, construction management, and surveying possess a strong foundation. This enables them to tackle complex challenges related to the designing, constructing, and managing of buildings and infrastructure. The importance of safety standards and quality assurance in the construction industry is emphasized in AEC education (Almusaed, et al, 2023). Professionals who have received comprehensive education are more likely to follow safety protocols, building codes, and regulations that ensure the safety of occupants and the durability of structures (Rafsanjani, and Nabizadeh, 2023).

2.2.1 Sustainable Practices

With growing concerns about environmental impact and limited resources, education in the field of AEC places great emphasis on sustainable practices. Professionals are taught how to design structures that conserve energy, use eco-friendly building materials, reduce waste, and incorporate renewable energy sources. (Agbajor, and Mewomo, 2022: Almusaed, et al 2023). AEC professionals trained in sustainable practices contribute to eco-friendly building and infrastructure. (Almusaed, et al. 2023: Zakharova, et al. 2020).

2.2.2 Innovation and Technological Advancements

Education in AEC promotes innovation and motivates the integration of new technologies in the industry. Students get to learn about the latest tools, software, and techniques used in fields such as building information modeling (BIM), virtual reality, drones, and robotics (Safikhani, et al, 2022,). This knowledge empowers professionals to accept technological developments, which in turn enhances effectiveness, precision, and teamwork in the AEC sector (Musarat, et al, 2023).

2.2.3 Collaboration and Interdisciplinary Skills

Education in the architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) field encourages interdisciplinary collaboration, promoting communication and teamwork among professionals from diverse backgrounds. By working together, architects, engineers, construction managers, and other AEC professionals can integrate their expertise and perspectives to achieve project objectives. This collaborative approach results in better coordination, fewer conflicts, and improved project outcomes. (Indraprastha, 2023).

2.2.4 Economic Development

The AEC industry is crucial in driving economic growth and development. AEC education is essential in producing skilled professionals who drive innovation, create job opportunities, and promote progress in the construction sector. Well-educated AEC experts can effectively contribute to the economic advancement of their communities and nations (Cheng, et al.2016).

2.2.5 Lifelong Learning and Professional Growth

AEC education goes beyond formal degree programs and emphasizes the importance of lifelong learning and continuous professional development. AEC professionals are urged to stay current with the latest industry trends, regulations, and advancements by attending workshops, and seminars, obtaining certifications, and participating in conferences. This ongoing education helps professionals stay up-to-date with changing industry demands and maintain their competence throughout their careers (Hickey, 2023).

2.3 GAPS IN AEC EDUCATION

In recent years, the construction industry has undergone rapid transformations driven by the implementation of new construction standards, particularly the integration of advanced technologies like augmented reality, environmental management, information technology, and building information modeling (Pan and Zhang, 2021). To ensure that construction graduates can effectively navigate the modern construction environment, it is essential for construction educators to undertake appropriate curriculum reforms that bridge the gaps between educational attainment and job requirements (Maki, 2023). According to Brunello et al. (2021), there are two types of gaps or mismatches that can arise between educational attainment and job requirements. Overeducation occurs when educational attainment exceeds job requirements, creating a mismatch. Conversely, underqualification occurs when job requirements surpass educational attainment, leading to another mismatch. Notably, underqualification appears to be a more significant issue since overqualified graduates can still adequately meet job requirements, whereas underqualified graduates struggle without on-the-job training (Wiedner, 2022). In Ghana, as in many other countries, the Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) sector plays a pivotal role in shaping the built environment. However, the effectiveness of AEC education is subject to various challenges and gaps. To address these gaps and foster a more holistic learning experience, this study aims to assess the potential of augmented reality (AR) technology as a supplementary tool in AEC education. Before evaluating the adoptability of AR technology in the Ghanaian context, it is crucial to identify the existing skill gaps in AEC education. (Datta, et al.,2023: Takyi-Annan, et al.,2023)

2.3.1 Technical Drawing and Drafting

Technical drawing and drafting are fundamental skills in AEC education. These skills enable students to visualize design ideas from two-dimensional representations of three-dimensional

spaces (Sami Ur Rehman, et al, 2023). It is often observed that students encounter difficulties in mastering this skill due to the complexity of architectural and engineering drawings. As a result, many educational institutions in Ghana need to develop more effective teaching methods and resources to bridge this gap. (Kumi-Yeboah, et al, 2023)

2.3.2 Technical Knowledge and Proficiency

Technical knowledge and proficiency are essential in AEC education. Students should not only understand theoretical concepts but also be proficient in applying them practically. It is evident that there is a need for curricula that strike a better balance between theory and practical application (Brewer and Cunningham, 2023)

2.3.3 Communication and Teamwork Skills

The AEC sector heavily relies on effective communication and teamwork. These skills are crucial in ensuring the success of construction projects. Many AEC education programs in Ghana have identified gaps in teaching effective communication and fostering teamwork among students (Yamoah Agyemang, 2022).

2.3.4 Construction Schedules and Cost Estimates

Students often struggle with creating accurate construction schedules and cost estimates. This skill gap can result in project delays and budget overruns. Addressing these issues requires the development of a curriculum that provides students with practical experience in project management (Rahat, et al.,2023).

2.3.5 Integration of Technology

The integration of technology, such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), 3D printing, and virtual reality, is becoming increasingly important in the AEC sector. However, the existing curriculum may not adequately prepare students to harness the full potential of these technologies (Safikhani, et al.,2022).

2.3.6 Hands-On Learning Opportunities

Hands-on learning opportunities are limited in many AEC programs, depriving students of the practical experience needed for success in the field. Bridging this gap necessitates more investment in practical workshops and laboratories (Blair 2022).

2.3.7. Global Perspective and Diversity and Inclusion

A global perspective is essential in AEC education, exposing students to international standards, practices, and case studies. Additionally, curricula should be designed to prepare students for an industry that values a broad range of perspectives and experiences (Özener 2023).

2.4 AUGMENTED REALITY (AR) IN AEC EDUCATION

Depending on the size of the organization, there are significant differences in the AEC industries' adoption of AR and VR. Medium-sized and small businesses are finding it difficult to stay up with the pace set by large enterprises, who have the resources for technology, in-house content development teams, and equipment (Stentoft et al., 2021). Small businesses are unable to test AR and VR for every use case, therefore they are restricted to simple use cases like design reviews and stakeholder involvement. They must rely on off-the-shelf solutions, which limits their capacity to utilize AR and VR (Schiavi, et al, 2022). In total, six general use cases have been identified (Mojtaba, et al. 2020). The following is a summary of different applications, supported by

examples from literature, encompassing (1) Engaging stakeholders, (2) Assisting in design, (3) Reviewing design, (4) Assisting in construction, which includes four subcategories: planning construction, monitoring progress, ensuring construction safety, and providing operational support; and (5) Managing operations (Getuli, et al, 2022). In the fields of architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC), imagery is essential for fostering communication. Thus, it is crucial to make use of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technology. (Oke and Arowoia, 2022). AEC professionals have been employing these technologies since the 1990s to facilitate the visualization of design, building, and city operations. Augmented Reality (AR) is a technology that overlays computer-generated pictures and information onto the actual world, so increasing the user's view of their immediate environment. This is accomplished by utilizing mobile devices, tablets, or head-mounted displays (Dargan, et al, 2023). On the other hand, virtual reality (VR) is a technological innovation that substitutes the user's actual physical surroundings with a completely computer-generated virtual environment.

This is accomplished through the utilization of devices such as head-mounted displays (HMDs), glasses, and multi-display configurations Uhomoibhi et al. (2020). Architectural and civil engineering (ACE) education is a sort of practice-based knowledge delivery that often links courses to the characteristics and configuration of three-dimensional (3D) things. When constructing a building, bridge, or other structure, it's important to consider various details such as stress, dimensions, materials, assembly, and construction (Messi, et al. 2022). Building models are commonly used to track information from the initial concept design to the final as-built product. Simulations are frequently used with these virtual models to provide training, practice, assessments, and demonstrations of suggested solutions (Rajaratnam, et al., 2021). Augmented reality (AR) is also used to connect the virtual design with the physical property in the real world, making it a valuable tool for educational and specialized practice needs (Sharma, et al, 2022)

The benefits of incorporating Augmented Reality (AR) into an ACE education curriculum are significant and can be classified into two categories: general pedagogies and domain-specific learning. General pedagogies encompass improvements in student interest, performance, and motivation, as reported by (Czok, et al 2023). On the other hand, domain-specific learning refers to the enhancement of graphic competencies and spatial skills among students. These advantages demonstrate the potential of AR technology to combine physical attributes with designated information, which can be easily manipulated without the need for markers (Darwish, et al, 2023). Augmented reality (AR) is highly useful when concluding projects, presentations, and reports that contain both real architectural models and 3D virtual models, according to Schranz et al. (2021).

2.5 Teaching Methods

These instructional strategies include all the necessary elements to replicate professional practice situations that students might encounter in the real world. Three basic elements are included in these scenarios: tasks, roles, and locations. These elements together define a job. For example, students might visit a building site, play the part of a job inspector, or check equipment. Three categories of AR teaching approaches have been recognized for ACE education (Fotia and Houda, 2023). The initial category is commonly known as "highlighting the roles." Within this approach, students adopt various roles within an augmented reality system and actively participate in conversation and discourse with one another. The primary emphasis is on fostering interactions among pupils (Fotia and Houda, 2023).

The second category is referred to as "highlighting the locations." Students in this context explore non-conventional learning settings and employ augmented reality (AR) technology to carry out their educational activities in designated outdoor areas (Diao and Shih, 2019). The third category is referred to as "prioritizing the task." Students employ augmented reality (AR) to accomplish educational assignments, which might be in the form of games or direct interaction. In contrast to the other two teaching methods, this strategy does not entail the categorization or assignment of students into distinct roles, and the majority of the research conducted using this approach took place indoors (Corno, 2023).

Out of the instructional approaches that were found, fourteen studies utilized the "emphasize the task" approach, whereas four publications utilized the "emphasize the locations" approach. Nevertheless, no scholarly articles were discovered that expressly employed the "emphasize the roles" approach, most likely because there is a lack of grading standards that are tailored to roles (Pan and Zhang, 2021). The remaining three publications, which may consist of literature reviews or studies that do not fit into any certain category, are labeled as "others." The quantity of works employing the "emphasize the task" pedagogical approach was not specified (Botha et al., 2023).

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research method

Quantitative research methods are used, since the study seeks to collect a large amount of data from a large sample size in a short amount of time. According to Kothari (2004), a quantitative research strategy entails the collection of quantitative data, which is subsequently subjected to rigorous, formal analysis through a series of steps. Survey Research is used for the Study based on the following rationales. Surveys entail the collection of data from participants through the use of questionnaires and interviews. The survey collects outcomes in a standardized and organized manner, using statistically selected samples to minimize any bias and ensure the results are representative of the greater community.

3.2 Questionnaire design

Closed-ended questionnaires were designed from a literature review and used to gather primary data through Google Forms. Closed-ended questionnaires are not only easier to administer but are notable for higher response rates and ease of coding (Dawson, 2007).

3.3 Respondents and information collection

The study population comprises lecturers and students from the Architecture, Construction, and Engineering departments of Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology because students and lecturers are key stakeholders in the educational context, where the study is likely to take place.

The study included both purposive and snowball sampling approaches to provide a valid and efficient overall sample size. The study used the Snowball-Sampling-Technik to first contact a small number of potential participants, who were then asked to recommend organizations or individuals that meet certain criteria. The purposive sample technique was then used to choose study participants.

3.4 Data discovery and analysis

Following the collection of the questionnaires, the quantitative data was cleaned, coded, and entered in the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS)-application in preparation for further analysis and interpretation. The analytical tools for the study were Descriptive Statistics (simple frequencies and mean score). Descriptive statistics enable researchers to compare different groups or conditions based on key variables.

3.5 Piloting and Pretesting of Questionnaires

To ensure the reliability and comprehensibility of the questionnaires that are employed in this study. The piloting and pretesting of these instruments were conducted to represent a crucial preparatory step aimed at gaining valuable insights and feedback from both lecturers and peers. Pretesting: Confirm that the questionnaire can be completed within the anticipated timeframe (less than 10 minutes).

3.6 Ethical Considerations in Research

Following ethical standards, all individuals participating in this study received comprehensive and lucid information about the research. To ensure the confidentiality and privacy of participants, all collected data, encompassing personal particulars and responses, will undergo anonymization and secure storage.

To ensure validity and reliability in research, Cronbach's alpha is used to assess the internal consistency of the scale or instrument.

4.0 RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.1 Demographic data

This frequency distribution provides an overview of the respondents' levels of academic study. 64 percent of the sample has a BSc degree, whereas the percentages of those with MPhil (2%) and MSc (34%), respectively, are lower.

This frequency distribution provides an overview of how different occupational types or professions are distributed among the respondents in the dataset. The majority of the respondents is comprised of individuals in roles associated to quantity Surveying (50%) and construction management (36%). Lower percentages are present. Architecture": Five people, or 10% of the sample as a whole, are employed in the architectural area. "Civil Engineering": Two people, or 4% of the sample as a whole, work in the field of civil engineering

4.2 MEAN SCORE ANALYSIS OF GAPS IN ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, AND CONSTRUCTION (AEC) EDUCATION METHODS

The table presents the results of a survey aimed at identifying the gaps in Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) education methods. The respondents were asked to rate their level of competence in various skills on a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Very Incompetent" 2 being "Incompetent" 3 being "Moderate competent" 4 being "competent" and 5 being "Very Competent."

The major gaps identified in AEC education includes: industry partnership, global perspective and diversity and inclusion, Interdisciplinary Training, hands-on learning opportunities, continual learning and adaptability, integration of technology etc. A global perspective is essential in AEC education, exposing students to international standards, practices, and case studies. Additionally,

curricula should be designed to prepare students for an industry that values a broad range of perspectives and experiences (Özener 2023). Hands-on learning opportunities are limited in many AEC programs, depriving students of the practical experience needed for success in the field.

Bridging this gap necessitates more investment in practical workshops and laboratories (Blair 2022). The integration of technology, such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), 3D printing, and Augmented reality/virtual reality, is becoming increasingly important in the AEC sector. However, the existing curriculum may not adequately prepare students to harness the full potential of these technologies (Safikhani, et al.,2022). In addition, respondents rated their competence in cost estimates at an average of 3.9, indicating a moderate level of competence. This suggests that there might be room for improvement in teaching students how to accurately estimate costs in the AEC field. As a result, many educational institutions in Ghana need to develop more effective teaching methods and resources to bridge this gap.

Problem-solving skills: The average rating for problem-solving skills was 3.84, again indicating a moderate level of competence. Enhancing problem-solving abilities is essential in the AEC industry, where complex challenges often arise. **Communication and teamwork skills:** Respondents rated their competence in communication and teamwork skills at 3.84. Effective communication and collaboration are crucial in AEC projects, and this rating suggests that there is room for improvement in teaching students these skills.

Diversity and Inclusion: The average rating for diversity and inclusion was 3.74. This indicates that the curriculum may not adequately prepare students for an industry that values a broad range of perspectives and experiences. There is a need to incorporate more inclusive practices and perspectives in AEC education. **Soft skills:** soft skills, which encompass interpersonal skills, emotional intelligence, and adaptability, received an average rating of 3.7. Developing these skills is essential for success in the AEC industry, and the rating suggests that there is room for improvement in teaching and emphasizing soft skills in education programs.

Construction schedules: The average rating for competence in construction schedules was 3.7. This indicates that there may be gaps in teaching students how to effectively plan and manage construction timelines. Overall, the survey results highlight the need for AEC education programs to focus on enhancing skills related to cost estimates, problem-solving, communication, teamwork, diversity and inclusion, soft skills, construction schedules, and various other areas to better prepare students for the demands of the industry. By addressing these gaps, educators can help bridge the divide between academic knowledge and practical application in the AEC field.

4.3 POTENTIAL OF AUGMENTED REALITY (AR) AS A SUPPLEMENTARY TOOL IN IMPROVING ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, AND CONSTRUCTION (AEC) EDUCATION

4.3.1 Reliability statistics

The reliability statistics provided in this context indicate the internal consistency or reliability of a set of items or measures.

The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient in this instance is .940, a very high value. Greater internal consistency is indicated by higher values of the coefficient, which range from 0 to 1. The 11 items or measures used in this study have an Alpha coefficient of .940, which indicates that they are very consistent and trustworthy in measuring the relevant concept.

4.3.2 Potential of Augmented Reality (AR) As A Supplementary Tool in Improving Architecture, Engineering, And Construction (AEC) Education

The data provided presents the results of a survey aimed at assessing the perceived potential of augmented reality (AR) as a supplementary tool in improving Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) education. The respondents were asked to rate the level of enhancement on a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 representing the lowest level and 5 representing the highest level of improvement.

Perceived improvement in engagement: The respondents rated the perceived improvement in engagement with AR as 3.46 on average. This suggests that AR has the potential to moderately enhance student engagement in AEC education as opined by (Delgado, et al. 2020). **Perceived improvement in comprehension of AEC concepts:** The average rating for the perceived improvement in comprehension was 3.5. This indicates that AR has the potential to moderately enhance the understanding of AEC concepts among students as asserted by (Dargan, et al, 2023). **Perceived improvement in retention of knowledge:** Respondents rated the perceived improvement in retention of knowledge with AR at an average of 3.72. This suggests that AR has the potential to significantly improve the retention of AEC knowledge among students. **Perceived improvement in problem-solving skills:** The average rating for the perceived improvement in problem-solving skills was 3.84. This indicates that AR has the potential to significantly enhance problem-solving abilities in the context of AEC education. **Perceived improvement in collaboration and teamwork skills:** Respondents rated the perceived improvement in collaboration and teamwork skills with AR at an average of 3.9. This suggests that AR has the potential to significantly enhance collaboration and teamwork among AEC students. **Skills Development Enhancement:** The average rating for skills development enhancement with AR was 3.88. This indicates that AR has the potential to significantly enhance the development of various skills in AEC education. **Improvement in technical skills:** The average rating for the improvement in technical skills was 3.84. This suggests that AR has the potential to significantly improve technical skills in the AEC field. **Improvement in hands-on or practical skills:** Respondents rated the improvement in hands-on or practical skills with AR at an average of 3.78. This indicates that AR has the potential to significantly enhance practical skills, such as construction techniques, in AEC education. **Improvement in communication and presentation skills:** The average rating for improvement in communication and presentation skills was 3.82. This suggests that AR has the potential to significantly enhance communication and presentation abilities among AEC students (Oke and Arowoia, 2022). **Improvement in interdisciplinary skills:** Respondents rated the improvement in interdisciplinary skills with AR at an average of 3.94. This indicates that AR has the potential to substantially enhance interdisciplinary skills, such as working with architects, engineers, and contractors (Getuli, et al,2022). **Improvement in critical thinking and decision-making skills:** The average rating for improvement in critical thinking and decision-making skills was 3.82. This suggests that AR has the potential to significantly enhance critical thinking and decision-making abilities in the AEC field Czok, et al (2023). Overall, the data suggests that respondents perceive augmented reality (AR) as having the potential to enhance various aspects of AEC education. AR shows promise in improving engagement, comprehension, retention of knowledge, problem-solving skills, collaboration and teamwork, skills development, technical skills, hands-on or practical skills, communication and presentation skills, interdisciplinary skills, and critical thinking and decision-making skills.

4.4 ADOPTABILITY OF AR TECHNOLOGY IN THE CONTEXT OF AEC EDUCATION IN GHANA

4.4.1 Reliability statistics

The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient is .910, which is a very high value. Cronbach's Alpha has a range of 0 to 1, with values nearer 1 denoting more dependability in internal consistency. Typically, a Cronbach's Alpha above .70 is considered acceptable, while values above .80 are considered good, and values above .90 are considered excellent.

4.4.2 Mean Score Ranking for Adoptability of AR Technology in The Context of AEC Education in Ghana

The results of the questionnaire provide insights into the perceived adoptability of AR technology in the context of AEC education in Ghana, considering factors such as infrastructure, accessibility, and affordability. The results are based on the responses of 50 participants.

1. INFRASTRUCTURE READINESS:

Network Bandwidth: The average score of 3.08 indicates a moderate level of readiness for network bandwidth in integrating AR technology. **Location-Based Services:** The average score of 3.06 suggests a moderate level of support for location-based services.

Cloud-Based Services: The average score of 3.02 indicates a moderate availability of cloud-based services. **Training and Tutorials:** The average score of 2.98 suggests a moderate availability of training and tutorials. **User Interface Accessibility:** The average score of 2.94 indicates a moderate level of accessibility to the user interface. **Power Supply:** The average score of 2.92 suggests a moderate reliability of the power supply. **App Stores and Marketplaces:** The average score of 2.86 indicates a moderate selection of apps in stores and marketplaces.

Hardware Compatibility: The average score of 2.86 suggests a moderate level of compatibility with hardware. **Cross-Platform Compatibility:** The average score of 2.82 indicates a moderate level of compatibility across platforms. **Free or Open-Source Alternatives:** The average score of 2.80 suggests a moderate availability of free or open-source alternatives (Alzubaidi et al., 2023).

2. ACCESSIBILITY OF AR DEVICES AND APPLICATIONS:

Device Availability: The mean score of 2.68 suggests a limited availability of AR devices. **Subscription Models:** The mean score of 2.58 indicates a moderate availability of subscription models for AR technology. **Cost of Data Plans:** The mean score of 2.42 suggests that data plans for AR technology are moderately priced. **Total Cost of Ownership:** The mean score of 2.34 indicates a moderate total cost of ownership for AR technology. **Cost of AR Devices:** The mean score of 2.00 suggests that AR devices are moderately priced. (Xu, et al,2022).

Overall, the results indicate that there is room for improvement in various aspects related to the adoptability of AR technology in AEC education in Ghana. Network bandwidth, location-based services, and cloud-based services seem to have a relatively higher level of readiness compared to other factors. However, areas such as device availability, subscription models, and cost-related factors need attention to enhance the affordability and accessibility of AR technology in the Ghanaian context. It's important to note that these results are based on self-reported perceptions and may not necessarily reflect the actual state of infrastructure, accessibility, and affordability (Yang, et al,2022).

5.0 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research has provided insights into the adoptability of augmented reality as a supplementary tool in AEC education in Ghana. The findings indicate the potential of AR to enhance various aspects of AEC education and highlight the need for further exploration and implementation. By addressing the identified challenges and implementing the recommended strategies, educational institutions can embrace AR technology and create a more immersive and effective learning environment for students in the AEC field. The future of AEC education in Ghana can be shaped by leveraging the benefits of augmented reality to foster innovation, collaboration, and sustainable practices in the construction industry.

6.0 RECOMMENDATION

Building upon the findings of this research, the following recommendations are proposed to further explore and enhance the adoptability of augmented reality as a supplementary tool in AEC education. Professional Development and Training: Educational institutions should provide professional development programs and training opportunities for faculty members to enhance their understanding and proficiency in using AR tools and teaching methods. This will help bridge the knowledge gap and promote effective integration of AR into the curriculum.

REFERENCE

- Agbajor, F.D. & Mewomo, M.C., 2022. Green building research in South Africa: A scoping review and future roadmaps. *Energy and Built Environment*.
- Aguilar Rangel, M., Dolan, P.T., Taguwa, S., Xiao, Y., Andino, R. & Frydman, J., 2023. High-resolution mapping reveals the mechanism and contribution of genome insertions and deletions to RNA virus evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(31), p.e2304667120.
- Alizadehsalehi, S., Hadavi, A. & Huang, J.C., 2020. From BIM to extended reality in the AEC industry. *Automation in Construction*, 116, p.103254.
- Almusaed, A., Yitmen, I. & Almssad, A., 2023. Reviewing and Integrating AEC Practices into Industry 6.0: Strategies for Smart and Sustainable Future-Built Environments. *Sustainability*, 15(18), p.13464.
- Alzubaidi, L., Al-Sabaawi, A., Bai, J., Dukhan, A., Alkenani, A.H., Al-Asadi, A., Alwzwazy, H.A., Manoufali, M., Fadhel, M.A., Albahri, A.S. & Moreira, C., 2023. Towards Risk-Free Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence: Significance and Requirements. and acceptable to the established disciplines?" *Int. J. Sustainability Higher Educ.*, 5(3), 239–250.
- Blair, S.A., 2022. *Understanding school farms and their capacity to build food literacy education in British Columbia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).

- Bolpagni, M., Gavina, R., Ribeiro, D. & Arnal, I.P., 2022. Shaping the future of construction professionals. *Industry 4.0 for the Built Environment: Methodologies, Technologies and Skills*, pp.1-26.
- Botha, A., Ruyobeza, B. & Grobbelaar, S.S., 2023. From FITT to FISTT: The task-skills fit before the introduction of assistive, digital health technologies.
- Brewer, E. & Cunningham, K. eds., 2023. *Integrating study abroad into the curriculum: Theory and practice across the disciplines*. Taylor & Francis.
- Brunello, G. & Wruuck, P., 2021. Skill shortages and skill mismatch: A review of the literature. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 35(4), pp.1145-1167.
- Chegu Badrinath, A., Chang, Y.T. & Hsieh, S.H., 2016. A review of tertiary BIM education for advanced engineering communication with visualization. *Visualization in Engineering*, 4(1), pp.1-17.
- Cheng, M., 2016. Sharing economy: A review and agenda for future research. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 57, pp.60-70.
- Corno, L., 2023. Student volition and education: Outcomes, influences, and practices. In *Self-regulation of learning and performance* (pp. 229-251). Routledge.
- Czok, V., Krug, M., Müller, S., Huwer, J. & Weitzel, H., 2023. Learning Effects of Augmented Reality and Game-Based Learning for Science Teaching in Higher Education in the Context of Education for Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 15(21), p.15313.
- Dargan, S., Bansal, S., Kumar, M., Mittal, A. & Kumar, K., 2023. Augmented Reality: A Comprehensive Review. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 30(2), pp.1057-1080.
- Darwish, M., Kamel, S. & Assem, A., 2023. Extended reality for enhancing spatial ability in architecture design education. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 14(6), p.102104.
- Datta, S.D., Tayeh, B.A., Hakeem, I.Y. & Abu Aisheh, Y.I., 2023. Benefits and Barriers of Implementing Building Information Modeling Techniques for Sustainable Practices in the Construction Industry—A Comprehensive Review. *Sustainability*, 15(16), p.12466.
- Dawson, C., 2007. *A practical guide to research methods*. Oxford
- Delgado, J.M.D., Oyedele, L., Demian, P. & Beach, T., 2020. A research agenda for augmented and virtual reality in architecture, engineering, and construction. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 45, p.101122.
- Delgado-Roche, L. & Mesta, F., 2020. Oxidative stress as key player in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) infection. *Archives of medical research*, 51(5), pp.384-387.

- Diao, P.H. & Shih, N.J., 2019. Trends and research issues of augmented reality studies in architectural and civil engineering education—A review of academic journal publications. *Applied Sciences*, 9(9), p.1840.
- Fotia, A. & Barrile, V., 2023. Viaduct and Bridge Structural Analysis and Inspection through an App for Immersive Remote Learning. *Electronics*, 12(5), p.1220.
- Getuli, V., Capone, P., Bruttini, A. & Rahimian, F.P., 2021. On-demand generation of as-built infrastructure information models for mechanised Tunnelling from TBM data: A computational design approach. *Automation in Construction*, 121, p.103434.
- Getuli, V., Capone, P., Bruttini, A. & Sorbi, T., 2022. A smart objects library for BIM-based construction site and emergency management to support mobile VR safety training experiences. *Construction Innovation*, 22(3), pp.504-530.
- Hickey, C., 2023. Sufficiency, Limits, and Multi-Threshold Views. *Having Too Much: Philosophical Essays on Limitarianism*. Cambridge: Open Book Publishers, pp.219-246.
- Iatsyshyn, A.V., Kovach, V.O., Lyubchak, V.O., Zuban, Y.O., Piven, A.G., Sokolyuk, O.M., Iatsyshyn, A.V., Popov, O.O., Artemchuk, V.O. & Shyshkina, M.P., 2020. Application of augmented reality technologies for education projects preparation.
- Indraprastha, A., 2023. Fostering Critical Collaborative Thinking through Digital Platform: An Empirical Study on Interdisciplinary Design Project. *International Journal of Built Environment and Scientific Research*, 7(1), pp.19-30.
- Kothari, C.R., (2004) Research methodology: Methods and techniques. New Age International.
- Kumi-Yeboah, A., Kim, Y. & Armah, Y.E., 2023. Strategies for overcoming the digital divide during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher education institutions in Ghana. *British Journal of Educational Technology*.
- Maki, P.L., 2023. *Assessing for learning: Building a sustainable commitment across the institution*. Routledge.
- Messi, A.N., Bonnet, S.L., Owona, B.A., Wilhelm, A., Kamto, E.L.D., Ndong, J.T., Siwe-Noundou, X., Poka, M., Demana, P.H., Krause, R.W. & Ngo Mbing, J., 2022. In vitro and in silico potential inhibitory effects of new biflavonoids from *Ochna rhizomatosa* on HIV-1 integrase and plasmodium falciparum. *Pharmaceutics*, 14(8), p.1701.
- Musarat, M.A., Irfan, M., Alaloul, W.S., Maqsoom, A. & Ghufuran, M., 2023. A Review on the Way Forward in Construction through Industrial Revolution 5.0. *Sustainability*, 15(18), p.13862.
- Nassereddine, H., Hanna, A.S., Veeramani, D. & Lotfallah, W., 2022. Augmented Reality in the Construction Industry: Use-Cases, Benefits, Obstacles, and Future Trends. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 8, p.730094.

- Oke, A.E. & Arowoiya, V.A., 2022. An analysis of the application areas of augmented reality technology in the construction industry. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 11(4), pp.1081-1098.
- Özener, O.Ö., 2023. Context-based learning for BIM: simulative role-playing games for strategic business implementations. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*.
- Pan, Y. & Zhang, L., 2021. Roles of artificial intelligence in construction engineering and management: A critical review and future trends. *Automation in Construction*, 122, p.103517.
- Poinet, P., 2020. Enhancing Collaborative Practices in Architecture, Engineering and Construction through Multi-Scalar Modelling Methodologies.
- Rafsanjani, H.N. & Nabizadeh, A.H., 2023. Towards human-centered artificial intelligence (ai) in architecture, engineering, and construction (aec) industry. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, p.100319.
- Rahat, R., Ferrer, V., Pradhananga, P. & ElZomor, M., 2023. A pedagogical paradigm to support infrastructure projects through coupling front-end planning techniques with sustainability practices. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, 19(3), pp.276-298.
- Rajaratnam, V., Rahman, N.A. & Dong, C., 2021. Integrating instructional design principles into surgical skills training models: an innovative approach. *The Annals of The Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 103(10), pp.718-724.
- Rashevskaya, N., Semerikov, S., Zinonos, N., Tkachuk, V. & Shyshkina, M., 2020. Using augmented reality tools in the teaching of two-dimensional plane geometry. CEUR Workshop Proceedings.
- Rihab, Wit, Daryono., Nur, Kholifah., F., D., Isnantyo., Muhammad, Nurtanto. (2023). An empirical study to evaluate the student competency of vocational education. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v12i2.22805
- Safikhani, S., Keller, S., Schweiger, G. & Pirker, J., 2022. Immersive virtual reality for extending the potential of building information modeling in architecture, engineering, and construction sector: systematic review. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 15(1), pp.503-526.
- Sami Ur Rehman, M., Abouelkhier, N. & Shafiq, M.T., 2023. Exploring the Effectiveness of Immersive Virtual Reality for Project Scheduling in Construction Education. *Buildings*, 13(5), p.1123.
- Sannikov, S., Zhdanov, F., Chebotarev, P. & Rabinovich, P., 2015. Interactive educational content based on augmented reality and 3D visualization. *Procedia Computer Science*, 66, pp.720-729.
- Schiavi, B., Havard, V., Beddiar, K. & Baudry, D., 2022. BIM data flow architecture with AR/VR technologies: Use cases in architecture, engineering and construction. *Automation in Construction*, 134, p.104054.
- Schranz, C., Urban, H. & Gerger, A., 2021. Potentials of Augmented Reality in a BIM based building submission process. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, 26.

- Sharma, A., Mehtab, R., Mohan, S. & Mohd Shah, M.K., 2022. Augmented reality—an important aspect of Industry 4.0. *Industrial Robot: the international journal of robotics research and application*, 49(3), pp.428-441.
- Stentoft, J., Aadsbøll Wickstrøm, K., Philipsen, K. & Haug, A., 2021. Drivers and barriers for Industry 4.0 readiness and practice: empirical evidence from small and medium-sized manufacturers. *Production Planning & Control*, 32(10), pp.811-828.
- Takyi-Annan, G.E. & Zhang, H., 2023. Assessing the impact of overcoming BIM implementation barriers on BIM usage frequency and circular economy in the project lifecycle using Partial least Squares structural Equation modelling (PLS-SEM) analysis. *Energy and Buildings*, 295, p.113329.
- Uhomoibhi, J., Onime, C. & Wang, H., 2020. A study of developments and applications of mixed reality cubicles and their impact on learning. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 37(1-2), pp.15-31.
- Wiedner, J., 2022. Political and social consequences of qualification mismatches: A bounding approach to status inconsistency. *Social Forces*, 101(1), pp.150-175.
- Wright, C.F. & Clibborn, S., 2019. Migrant labour and low-quality work: A persistent relationship. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 61(2), pp.157-175.
- Xu, M., Ng, W.C., Lim, W.Y.B., Kang, J., Xiong, Z., Niyato, D., Yang, Q., Shen, X.S. & Miao, C., 2022. A full dive into realizing the edge-enabled metaverse: Visions, enabling technologies, and challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*.
- Yamoah Agyemang, D., 2022. Preparing construction management students towards a knowledge-based economy: a comparative study of developed and emerging economies.
- Yang, C., Tu, X., Autiosalo, J., Ala-Laurinaho, R., Mattila, J., Salminen, P. & Tammi, K., 2022. Extended reality application framework for a digital-twin-based smart crane. *Applied Sciences*, 12(12), p.6030.
- Zakharova, M., Do Carmo, M.P., Van Der Helm, M.W., de Graaf, M.N.S., Orlova, V., van den Berg, A., van der Meer, A.D., Broersen, K. & Segerink, L.I., 2020. Multiplexed blood–brain barrier organ-on-chip. *Lab on a Chip*, 20(17), pp.3132-3143.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

- Dr Gabriel Nani is a Senior Lecturer at the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi. Department of Construction Technology and Management. He is a Quantity Surveyor, Fellow and Governing Council Member of the Ghana Institution of Surveyors (GhIS). He is a Procurement and Project Management expert with significant experience in academia and the construction industry and has over 50 peer reviewed publications. Dr Nani co-Authored the Standard Method of Measurement of Building Works for Ghana and similar documents with the

aim of advancing the surveying profession in Ghana and globally. He is also the Managing Partner of PPMC International Ltd, a construction professionals consortium firm in Ghana.

CONTACTS

Surv. (Dr.) Gabriel Nani

Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi. Department of Construction Technology and Management

PMB Kumasi Ghana

Tel. +233243625066

Web site:

Email: gabrielnani@yahoo.com or gnani.cap@knust.edu.gh

Mr. Emmanuel Wiribare

Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi. Department of Construction Technology and Management

PMB Kumasi Ghana

Tel. +233 50 165 6531

Email: ewiribare123@gmail.com

Web site: