

Why Ethics Standards Are of Limited Use on Their Own for Professionals Working in Land, Property and Construction and If to Be Effective How Such Standards Need to Be Embedded, Monitored and Regulated Against. Workshop on Real Life Case Studies

Gary Strong (United Kingdom)

SUMMARY

Last year (2015) I delivered a paper which explored the opportunities and very real benefits that could be afforded not only to professionals working in land, property and construction but also to clients, the public and society more generally by the setting, promotion and monitoring of international standards on ethics.

A published report by the Chartered Management Institute, based in the UK, stated that trust between businesses and society is still at a low point and that there was a real danger that focusing on targets and regulations can drive dysfunctional and unethical behaviour.

However, a code of ethics on its own is potentially of limited use and this paper takes the subject further focusing on the following issues:

- Is just having ethics standards enough?– Do you produce standards and leave it at that? Will that really get buy in? What does adoption look like and what are the risks to an organisation or country's reputation if people just pay lip service?
- An update on the work that has been undertaken in the last year by the International Ethics Standards (IES) coalition and the increasing interest in this project from varying organisations, stakeholders and government inc the UN and World Bank.
- The challenges the IES coalition faces in coming to terms with the varying global definition of what is ethical, how the scope of the project was determined and how to overcome the questions posed in the first bullet point

Why Ethics Standards Are of Limited Use on Their Own for Professionals Working in Land, Property and Construction and If to Be Effective How Such Standards Need to Be Embedded, Monitored and Regulated Against. Workshop on Real Life Case Studies (8055)
Gary Strong (United Kingdom)

FIG Working Week 2016
Recovery from Disaster
Christchurch, New Zealand, May 2–6, 2016

above.

- How the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) have considered the interaction between technical standards, regulatory standards and ethical standards. How Commission 1 intend to provide guidance across our many diverse types of members working in different fields in surveying.
- How are FIG intending to get the message across to members across the world and how we are looking to embed ethics standards into our constituent professional bodies membership requirements, and training.
- Should compliance with ethics standards be reactive or proactively measured?

Ethical behaviours is critical to both business, consumers and society in general but what more needs to be done to continue trying to change what for many is 'normal business practice'.

We intend in Commission 1 to build on the interest generated in KL in 2014, Sofia in 2015 and the International Standards Coalition of professional bodies globally who have come together on this topic, to hold a workshop in Christchurch NZ to hear from countries and their representatives about real life examples of ethical issues they face as surveyors in their geographies. This insight will help the FIG Commission 1 Working Group shape the new FIG Ethics Code which will be a major feature of the workplan for 2014-18.

Why Ethics Standards Are of Limited Use on Their Own for Professionals Working in Land, Property and Construction and If to Be Effective How Such Standards Need to Be Embedded, Monitored and Regulated Against.
Workshop on Real Life Case Studies (8055)
Gary Strong (United Kingdom)

FIG Working Week 2016
Recovery from Disaster
Christchurch, New Zealand, May 2–6, 2016