



Introduction

In the market, there are several solutions for high-performance terrestrial survey (Mobile Mapping Systems, MMSs):

- sophisticated and expensive for high accuracy;
- Data processing are **complex**, often 'closed' and not fully controllable;
- software for GIS data extraction are only usable by skilled users (geomatics experts)





Many applications often require different features:

- Flexibility and portability; - Quickness and easy of use;
- Low Accuracy and precision (<1m);
- Low Accuracy and precision (< Im);
- Limited costs for kick off and management;
 Easy extraction of information from the acquired

data in multidisciplinary groups New instruments, methods and products are needed to fill this gap.







The Solid Ima	ge (SI)		
Structure (Dequal et al., 2002)			
Distance 1. $H_{_{S^2}}$ 2 byte/pixel (short integer) 2. $H_{_{f^2}}$ 4 byte/pixel (float)	Range Image 2-4 byte/pixel bil,bip,bsq		
RED 1 byte/pixel (unsigned character) GREEN 1 byte/pixel (unsigned character) BLUE 1 byte/pixel (unsigned character)	Image color data 3 byte/pixel (TIF+TFW, JPeG+JGW,)		
For each other band 1 byte/pixel (unsigned character)	Other radiometric data 1 byte/pixel		





4





5



62		A prac	tical exa	ample
			The SOLI	DEO viewer
Viewer 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 Telecamera 3 150 180 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Web, Dr. Chaddelwob/gbrownik/ Re Vener (***) Re Vener (***) goog, wiew, nawn we Re Ladiely Read (***) wie, wiew, nawn we Re Ladiely Read (***) wie Read (****) Read (****) Candeelsems/read (****) Read (****) Market (****) Read (*****) Market (****) Read (******) Read (*****) Read (************************************		A simple application (1.2 Mb) has been developed by the authors using Intel Visual Fortran and GINO Graphic Libraries to capture 3D GIS data.
Factified Image File 223 Background Value	ic Landee Victorio Lutiono _ sistema VICAM 2_2003_7_26	ation messages Rectly	C-Vandeahverk/sprovamest + Vestituzione_provales +	

A practical example

First results

The extracted points (about 1000) have been **compared with the correct location** defined using kinematic solutions of Geodetic GNNS receivers (table yellow). In table cyan, only some classes of points relative to the road have been considered.

Coordinates	Mean	Dev.St.	Details	Mean [m]	Dev.St. [m]			
EN	[in]		center line	1.05	0.34			
E,N	1.10	0.42	lateral line	1.10	0.45			
Q	1.18	0.45	road border	1.52	0.76			
			Street number	1.02	0.41			
Relative detail	Mean	Dev. St.	support for traffic signals	0.99	0.31			
	[m]	[m]						
Lane width	0.05	0.10	Table green describe the comparison					
Carriageway width	-0.04	0.12	between relative measures					
Roadway width	0.12	0.25	(distances)					
The precision is always sub-metrical (40-50 cm) but there are some systematic effects (the accuracy is about 1.1 m).								

Relative measures have a precision of about dm without visible systematic effect.

